



Life Cycle Management in wind energy technologies and planning - a case from Siemens Wind Power

Bonou, Alexandra; Olsen, Stig Irving

Published in:
Book of Abstracts. DTU's Sustain Conference 2015

Publication date:
2015

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Bonou, A., & Olsen, S. I. (2015). Life Cycle Management in wind energy technologies and planning - a case from Siemens Wind Power. In *Book of Abstracts. DTU's Sustain Conference 2015* [E-32] Technical University of Denmark.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Life Cycle Management in wind energy technologies and planning – a case from Siemens Wind Power

Alexandra Bonou^{*1}, Stig Irving Olsen¹


1: DTU Management

*abon@dtu.dk

We performed the LCA of 4 average wind power plants and assessed the environmental impacts related to the provision of kWh to the grid (FU). We assumed state of the art technology of 2015 and turbines of capacity 2.3, 3.2, 4.0 and 6.0 MW provided by Siemens Wind Power. We addressed two differ markets: onshore with 20 turbines and offshore with 80 turbines and two generator technologies (direct drive and geared). We accounted for the system from cradle to grave and we included all process required to provide the service. The energy payback time is less than 6 months for onshore and less than 11 for offshore plants. The CO₂-eq emissions are correspondingly less than 6kgCO₂-eq/MWh and 10kgCO₂-eq/MWh. Climate change is a good proxy for environmental hotspot identification while human toxicity is identified as the most relevant impact category.

The results indicate that onshore wind energy environmentally performs better per functional unit compared to the offshore. This is mainly because offshore requires 3 times more material weight for the capital equipment and more resources for installation and maintenance and these needs do not counterbalance the benefits of higher energy output compared to the onshore market. In both markets the newer turbines with more advanced generator technology perform better. Also, in both markets more than 80% of the impacts are due to material stage and mainly due to the foundations (reinforced concrete for the onshore and steel monopiles for the offshore) the steel towers nacelles and blades.

The impacts need to be seen as a combination of impact intensity per material type and the amounts consumed. The materials of highest improvement potential per kg material are found in the blades. The negative impact of materials is to a great extent counterbalanced by the high recycling potentials at the end of life due to avoided production of primary materials and mainly steel. The system's performance is highly sensitive to wind speed, life time and recycling related assumptions related to technology and future management practices. These EOL assumptions are also the most uncertain part of the system.



A conceptual model of life cycle thinking used to determine how it applies to the daily work of internal cross-functions (Siemens AG, 2014)

Siemens AG, 2014. Environmental Performance- Assessing the environmental impact of Siemens wind turbines.
Available at: <http://www.energy.siemens.com/hq/en/renewable-energy/wind-power/epd.htm> [Accessed June 1, 2015].
